

#### **Equality Impact Assessment Form**

Before completing this form, please refer to the Equality Impact Assessment Guidance documents and training materials

- EQIA Intranet Infohub
- EQIA Guidance

The Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA) will identify how any proposed policies, practices, activities, service changes or procedures will impact or affect different groups or communities if implemented. It supports officers in assessing whether the impacts are positive, negative, or unlikely to impact each of the nine protected characteristic groups. The assessment will also demonstrate whether there are ways to proactively advance equity, equality, diversity and inclusion. An EQIA is required before you finalise your proposal, or it may not be legally compliant.

If you have any questions or need feedback on your analysis, please contact the EDI team: <a href="mailto:CSPT.EDI@cityoflondon.gov.uk">CSPT.EDI@cityoflondon.gov.uk</a>

#### Section 1: To be completed by all

Proposal Title	Fann Street Enhancement
Details of the lead officer completing the Assessment/Role responsible for the completion of the EQIA	Ben Bishop, Environmental Resilience Officer
Department Responsible:	Environment
Who has been involved in creating the EQIA: (please summarise/list stakeholders you have engaged with and how)	Internal officers  - Design consultation at each Gateway stage.  Residents forum  - In person design consultation  - Digital consultation platform
Date of Initial assessment:	26/09/2024
Dates of review (as applicable)	02/10/2025

#### 1.PROPOSAL OVERVIEW

What does the proposal aim to achieve? Please outline your proposal below

- → If no potential impacts are identified at this stage, proceed to **Section 3**
- → If you believe there may be potential negative impacts, continue to **Section 2**, **Steps 2,3,4**, **and 5** to complete a full EQIA, then move to **Section 3**
- → If you identified any positive impact, please record and monitor at **Section** 2, **Step 5**, then move to **Section 3**

Please feel free to attach supporting documents/ information

#### **Fann Street Enhancement**

Removal of hardstanding and existing concrete planters for the construction of three new connected and raised planting beds with integrated permeable paving. The project aims to increase greening to trial climate resilience measures and enhance biodiversity. The project proposed seeks to develop a woodland edge style planting scheme with species-rich perennial planting, integrating a range of measures for biodiversity including invertebrate nesting habitat. The project will also review opportunities to include small shrubs to create eco-tones to enhance ecological value. The proposed beds will be open to the ground and raised by 300mm utilising a range of climate resilient soils, substrates and mulches. Permeable paving will be infiltrate surface water for existing and new greening. The project has been codesigned with the local community, taking into account their aspirations and concerns, and has reached a viable design. This project is not a Sustainable Drainage System (SuDS).

The proposed scheme will improve accessibility. Currently there is a series of 12 concrete planters though-out the public walkway which provide no clear route. The proposed designs have been developed to create defined walkways and retain a minimum of 2000mm for accessibility. This was done through undertaking a pedestrian flow analysis. Seating has been included to replace the existing seating.

The soft landscaping proposed for Fann Street will significantly enhance the area by creating a more inclusive, accessible, and greener public space. The introduction of flower-rich planting and permeable surfaces both improves environmental quality and contributes to a calming and visually appealing setting, which benefits mental wellbeing. Level access and seating with armrests will support older adults, disabled individuals, and those with mobility challenges, while the open, less cluttered design ensures ease of movement for carers, parents with children, and people using mobility aids. These improvements reflect a commitment to equity and inclusion in the public realm.

#### Section 2: To be completed for a full EQIA

#### 2. EVIDENCE AND IMPACT ANALYSIS

Please highlight the potential impact on these groups, actions taken to mitigate impact and advance equality, diversity and inclusion (include data/evidence upon which the analysis is based)

#### **Protected Groups:**

- Age
- Disability
- Gender Reassignment
- Marriage & Civil Partnership
- Pregnancy & Maternity
- Race
- Religion & Belief
- Sex
- Sexual Orientation

#### Additional Groups

- Socio-economic background
- Care leavers
- Carers & Parents
- Veterans

Where relevant note relevant intersectional impacts: where combinations of different group identities may result in unique or compounded impacts.

Protected	Positive	Negative	No	Summary
characteristic	impact	impact	impact	
Age	⊠			Level surfaces and inclusive seating support older adults and those with mobility challenges.
Disability				Accessible design includes level surfaces and seating with armrests, improving usability for disabled people.
Gender Reassignment			$\boxtimes$	
Marriage and Civil Partnership			$\boxtimes$	
Pregnancy and Maternity				Seating and accessible pathways support those who may need rest or mobility support.
Race			$\boxtimes$	
Religion or Belief			$\boxtimes$	
Sex			$\boxtimes$	
Sexual Orientation			$\boxtimes$	
Additional groups				

Socio-economic	⊠		Improved public realm benefits all residents and visitors, regardless of income.
Care leavers		$\boxtimes$	
Carers and	$\boxtimes$		Inclusive seating and step-free
parents			access support mobility needs.
Veterans		$\boxtimes$	

#### 3. STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Outline any consultation/engagement activity and additional information gathered not outlined above (if relevant)

Include information on stakeholders involved, and methods used for engagement / consultation.

Describe any further work required on proposals as a consequence of engagement/consultation (if relevant)

The project has extensively engaged internal and external stakeholders to ensure the design and implementation stages have considered the relevant factors that could impact protected characteristics and additional groups. This consultation will continue through to the project completion.

#### 4. DECISION MAKING (MITIGATIONS AND CHANGE)

After completing steps 1-3, please outline your informed decision.

Possible outcomes could be that:

- a. No changes are required; there is no negative impact or discrimination
- b. Available actions have been taken to remove or minimise potential negative impacts
- c. Adjustments to the proposal to remove or minimise potential negative impacts monitoring and regular review will take place to manage this with a view to taking further mitigation actions
- d. Where a negative impact is identified that is not unlawful discrimination, or where discrimination can be objectively justified-justify and continue with the proposal: there may be other factors (such as other proposal aims or financial constraints) that make it reasonable to adopt the proposal, despite the negative impact potential impacts will be monitored and kept under regular review. Please seek advice from the EDI team and the Legal team before making the decision.
- e. You decide not to take the proposal forward.

Outcome a: the Fann Street project identifies several positive impacts across protected and additional groups. The proposal enhances accessibility through step-free, level pathways and inclusive seating, benefiting older adults, disabled individuals, parents and carers. The introduction of soft landscaping and flower-rich planting improves environmental quality and supports mental wellbeing, including for those from socio-economically disadvantaged backgrounds.

No negative impacts have been identified, and extensive stakeholder engagement has informed the inclusive design and therefore no changes are required following the Test of Relevance.

#### **5.MONITORING AND REVIEW**

How will you monitor and review the impact (positive or negative) of the proposal once it has been put into effect, if so, how? What are the timescales for reviewing the EQIA once proposals are implemented?

This may take the form of an action plan.

Impact	Monitoring method	Timeline
Accessibility Step-free access and inclusive seating improve usability for disabled people, older adults, and carers.	Inspection procedures including upkeep of the public highway.	As applicable.
Environmental Soft and permeable landscaping increases climate resilience and supports pollinators and enhances ecological value.	Project review cycle and landscaping maintenance.	Seasonal site reviews and general maintenance regime set by maintenance provider.
Public Realm Improved visual appeal and comfort for all users, especially those from socio-economically disadvantaged backgrounds.	Stakeholder feedback and observational studies.	Within 12 to 24 months of project implementation
Wellbeing Calming green space contributes to wellbeing across protected groups.	Qualitative feedback from community engagement and wellbeing surveys.	Within 12 to 24 months of project implementation.

#### Section 3: To be completed by ALL

#### **6.RECORDING YOUR DECISION AND SIGN-OFF**

- 1. Submit to your line manager for review and sign-off
- 2. Submit to Director or Chief Officer (as relevant) for sign-off
- 3. Where possible, share the final document with the corporate EEDI team

This Test of Relevance completed for the Fann Street Enhancement project identifies several positive impacts across protected and additional groups. The proposal enhances accessibility through step-free, level pathways and inclusive seating, benefiting older adults, disabled individuals, and carers. The introduction of soft landscaping and flower-rich planting improves environmental quality and supports mental wellbeing, including for those from socio-economically disadvantaged backgrounds.

No negative impacts have been identified, and extensive stakeholder engagement has informed the inclusive design and therefore no changes are required following the Test of Relevance.

Monitoring will be carried out post-implementation to ensure these benefits are sustained and adjustments made if needed.

#### Sign off

#### 1. Officer completing the EQIA

Name	Ben Bishop
Job Title	Environmental Resilience Officer
Date	02/10/2025
Signature	681

#### 2. Line Manager

Name	Tim Munday
Job Title	Lead Environmental Resilience Officer
Date	02/10/2025
Signature	Tintunday

#### 3. Senior Manager or Chief Officer

Name	Ian Hughes
Job Title	City Operations Director
Date	19/11/2025

Signature	-10/
	Too Headle

Once this form has been signed off, please send a copy of the form to the EDI Team: CSPT.EDI@cityoflondon.gov.uk